

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,631	07/01/2003	Joseph T. Pesik	21220/04152 (GR201AS407A)	1413	
24024	7590 10/18/2005		EXAM	INER	
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP			CHERRY, E	CHERRY, EUNCHA P	
800 SUPERI SUITE 1400	OR AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	D. OH 44114		2872		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/611,631	PESIK, JOSEPH T.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		EUNCHA P. CHERRY	2872			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>	August 2005 .				
2a)⊠	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
3)	,					
Disposit	ion of Claims	=x parto Quayro, 1000 0.21 11,				
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
44)□:	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·	· ·			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
۵٫۱						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment		· —				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4/3</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/611,631
Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tracy et al (US 4,963,012) in view of Yoshizawa et al (US 5,650,353).

Tracy et al discloses a macroscopic mirror (Fig. 8) comprising:

- a silicon substrate (132) of a predetermined shape and macroscopic cut (inherent see Fig. 7) from a silicon wafer;
- a plurality of layers (134, 136) including a layer of reflective medium (136) disposed on the silicon substrate in a manner to minimize flexural distortion of the surface (see column 8, lines 65-68);

the reflective medium being selected for an at least one wavelength of radiation to be reflected thereby (inherent 136 is silver);

Application/Control Number: 10/611,631
Art Unit: 2872

the plurality of layers are a bottom primer layer (130), a middle reflective medium (136) and a top protective coating layer (Fig. 9, 138 and column 9, lines 1-5);

s side of the silicon substrate serves as a backing plate for bonding the mirror to a scan drive mechanism (see column 1, lines 61-65);

each layer of the plurality of layers is sputtered to a predetermined thickness (see Fig. 9).

Tracy et al does not disclose the silicon substrate that comprise a flat polished surface and an etched rough surface.

Yoshizawa et al disclose a silicon substrate that comprise a flat polished surface and an etched rough surface (see column 4, lines 15-15) and the substrate section is cut from the silicon wafer (see column 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the technique of etching and polishing the silicon wafer before cut as taught by Yoshizawa et al for the purpose of increasing bonding between the layers while maintaining strong grips between the layers using the etched and polished surfaces.

The method of making the mirror is inherently met by the disclosure of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,631
Art Unit: 2872

3. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tracy et al (US 4,963,012) in view of Yoshizawa et al (US 5,650,353).

Tracy et al in view of Yoshizawa et al discloses the claimed invention as set forth above except that dimensions of thermal distortion coefficient, thickness or dimension of the silicon substrate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to choose these dimensions since it has been held that discovering an optimum values only involves routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, filed on 8/1/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) have been fully considered and not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based on improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a

Application/Control Number: 10/611,631

Art Unit: 2872

reconstruction is proper. In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392; 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EUNCHA P. CHERRY whose telephone number is 571-272-2310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DREW DUNN can be

Application/Control Number: 10/611,631

Art Unit: 2872

reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

EUNCHA P. CHERRY Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872 Page 6

October 17, 2005